

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/078,033	JANIK ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Matthew O Savage	<b>Art Unit</b> 1723

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew O Savage.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Thomas J. Menard.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 February 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 19*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to change "said" to --the-- on line 6 of claim 1 since the phrase "said connected upper and lower shell portions" did not have exact antecedent basis. Agreed to change "durom eter" which was a typographical error to --durometer-- on line 2 of claim 19. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details..